

From September 17 to 24, 2021, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 313 likely voters in New Jersey's 5th Congressional District using SMS and web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is ±6 percentage points.

N=313 unless otherwise specified. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

		D	I	R	
<p>[1] The U.S. Senate recently passed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (formerly known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill) which will invest \$550 billion to repair roads and bridges, modernize and expand transportation infrastructure, replace lead drinking water pipes, and upgrade our power infrastructure.</p> <p>Do you support or oppose the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act?</p>	Strongly support	38%	62%	33%	15%
	Somewhat support	31	29	29	35
	Somewhat oppose	8	0	12	12
	Strongly oppose	17	4	20	29
	Don't know	7	6	6	10
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	69%	91%	62%	50%
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	25	4	32	41	
SUPPORT (NET)	+44	+87	+30	+9	

		D	I	R	
<p>[2] While the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act makes investments in physical infrastructure, many lawmakers are calling for Congress to make additional investments to address climate change and extreme weather, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution.</p> <p>How important or not important do you think it is that lawmakers in Congress make these additional investments?</p>	Very important	44%	78%	41%	5
	Somewhat important	21	18	17	29
	Not very important	9	2	16	10
	Not at all important	26	2	26	55
	IMPORTANT (TOTAL)	65%	96%	58%	34
	NOT IMPORTANT (TOTAL)	35	4	42	65
IMPORTANT (NET)	+30	+92	+16	-31	

		D	I	R	
<p>[3] Some lawmakers have proposed a new \$3.5 trillion Build Back Better plan that would expand Medicare benefits, lower healthcare costs and prescription drug prices, and expand access to affordable caretaking services for children and the elderly. This plan would also take action to address climate change and extreme weather, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution.</p> <p>Do you support or oppose this proposal?</p>	Strongly support	42%	75%	35%	8
	Somewhat support	19	17	20	18
	Somewhat oppose	7	1	10	9
	Strongly oppose	30	2	31	63
	Don't know	3	5	3	1
	SUPPORT (TOTAL)	61%	92%	55%	26
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	37	3	41	72	
SUPPORT (NET)	+24	+89	+14	-46	

[4] Would you be more or less likely to vote for a candidate for Congress who **opposes** the Build Back Better plan that would expand Medicare benefits, lower healthcare costs and prescription drug prices, expand access to affordable caretaking services for children and the elderly, take action to address climate change, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution?

		D	I	R
Much more likely	24%	14%	16%	45
Somewhat more likely	11	5	11	19
Somewhat less likely	12	16	14	6
Much less likely	34	51	37	9
Wouldn't affect my choice	19	14	23	21
MORE LIKELY (TOTAL)	35%	19%	27%	64
LESS LIKELY (TOTAL)	46	67	51	15
MORE LIKELY (NET)	-11	-48	-24	+49

[5] The Build Back Better plan is a \$3.5 trillion proposal that would expand Medicare benefits, lower healthcare costs and prescription drug prices, and expand access to affordable caretaking services for children and the elderly. This plan would also take action to address climate change and extreme weather, create clean energy jobs, and reduce pollution.

Some say that we should **increase** the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan to provide more help to working families, address our economic problems, and take action on climate change now that will pay off in the long run.

Some say that we should **decrease** the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan because we need to rein in government spending, even if it means cutting investments to help working families and address climate change.

Do you think lawmakers should increase, decrease, or keep the same amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan?

		D	I	R
Lawmakers should increase the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan	33%	59%	30%	5%
Lawmakers should keep the same amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan	27	35	26	17
Lawmakers should decrease the amount of investments in the Build Back Better plan	40	6	44	78

[6] Which statement comes closer to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

		D	I	R
Lawmakers should pass the Build Back Better plan to cut pollution, lower energy costs, create new clean energy jobs, and tackle climate change to ensure we leave a sustainable world for future generations.	53%	92%	47%	10%
Lawmakers should not pass the Build Back Better plan. Government spending is out of control, and we should not raise the national debt and spend more taxpayer money on this proposal.	41	2	47	81
Don't know	6	6	6	8