

DATA FOR PROGRESS

From February 2 to 4, 2022, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,284 likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is ± 3 percentage points.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,284 unless otherwise specified.

[1] Some lawmakers have proposed a Green New Deal for Public Housing that would invest \$170 billion over the next ten years upgrading public housing to improve energy efficiency and eliminate lead paint and toxic mold. The bill would also create new jobs for public housing residents and provide workforce development training.

Do you support or oppose the Green New Deal for Public Housing?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Strongly support	35	54	31	15	38	31	39	32	33	37	53	30	40	43	30	32
Somewhat support	33	35	35	28	34	31	33	32	32	34	33	33	33	32	33	33
Somewhat oppose	10	4	9	18	10	11	10	10	11	9	7	11	5	8	13	8
Strongly oppose	15	2	17	29	10	21	8	19	15	15	1	18	12	14	14	19
Don't know	8	6	8	10	9	7	10	6	9	5	7	7	10	5	11	7
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	68	89	66	43	72	62	72	64	65	71	86	63	73	75	63	65
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	25	6	26	47	20	32	18	29	26	24	8	29	17	22	27	27
SUPPORT (NET)	+43	+83	+40	-4	+52	+30	+54	+35	+39	+47	+78	+34	+56	+53	+36	+38
Weighted N	1,284	508	351	425	681	603	470	814	783	501	146	948	172	403	515	344

[2] Some lawmakers have proposed a Green New Deal for Public Schools to invest over \$1 trillion over the next 10 years upgrading every public school building in the country to improve energy efficiency and eliminate indoor air and water pollution. The bill would also provide funding to hire additional staff and expand services for high-need schools.

Do you support or oppose the Green New Deal for Public Schools?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Strongly support	37	57	34	17	42	32	43	34	37	39	52	33	44	48	30	36
Somewhat support	32	32	33	31	33	30	31	32	31	32	29	34	25	26	36	32
Somewhat oppose	9	3	9	16	7	11	7	10	8	10	6	10	7	8	11	6
Strongly oppose	15	2	19	28	10	21	10	18	15	15	5	18	13	13	14	20
Don't know	7	6	6	8	7	6	9	5	9	4	9	6	10	4	9	6
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	69	89	67	48	75	62	74	66	68	71	81	67	69	74	66	68
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	24	5	28	44	17	32	17	28	23	25	11	28	20	21	25	26
SUPPORT (NET)	+45	+84	+39	+4	+58	+30	+57	+38	+45	+46	+70	+39	+49	+53	+41	+42
Weighted N	1,284	508	351	425	681	603	470	814	783	501	146	948	172	403	515	344

[3] Some lawmakers have proposed a Green New Deal for Cities that would provide \$1 trillion in federal funds to cities, towns, counties, states, Tribes, and U.S. territories to improve infrastructure and respond to the impacts of climate change. At least half of the investments would go to communities that are most significantly impacted by climate change and pollution.

Do you support or oppose the Green New Deal for Cities?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Strongly support	34	54	30	13	36	31	37	32	32	36	46	30	43	39	29	33
Somewhat support	31	33	35	26	35	27	33	30	31	31	35	32	24	34	30	31
Somewhat oppose	10	5	8	19	9	12	9	11	10	11	7	12	8	7	13	11
Strongly oppose	16	3	19	31	11	23	10	20	17	16	3	20	12	14	17	18
Don't know	8	6	8	11	9	7	11	6	10	5	10	7	13	6	11	7
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	65	87	65	39	71	58	70	62	63	67	81	62	67	73	59	64
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	26	8	27	50	20	35	19	31	27	27	10	32	20	21	30	29
SUPPORT (NET)	+39	+79	+38	-11	+51	+23	+51	+31	+36	+40	+71	+30	+47	+52	+29	+35
Weighted N	1,284	508	351	425	681	603	470	814	783	501	146	948	172	403	515	344

[4] Some lawmakers have proposed the End Polluter Welfare Act, which would eliminate dozens of tax loopholes and subsidies throughout the federal tax code that benefit oil, gas, and coal companies. The bill would also ensure that fossil fuel companies pay the market-rate for leases on U.S. lands and waters, as well as end the U.S. government's support for international fossil fuel projects.

Do you support or oppose the End Polluter Welfare Act?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Strongly support	34	53	32	14	34	34	35	34	32	39	39	33	36	40	30	34
Somewhat support	30	30	33	27	36	23	34	28	30	29	34	29	30	30	31	28
Somewhat oppose	12	6	12	19	9	15	12	12	12	12	9	12	11	12	13	10
Strongly oppose	12	2	12	24	8	17	7	15	11	13	3	14	8	8	12	16
Don't know	12	9	11	16	12	11	13	12	15	7	15	11	15	10	14	12
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	64	83	65	41	70	57	69	62	62	68	73	62	66	70	61	62
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	24	8	24	43	17	32	19	27	23	25	12	26	19	20	25	26
SUPPORT (NET)	+40	+75	+41	-2	+53	+25	+50	+35	+39	+43	+61	+36	+47	+50	+36	+36
Weighted N	1,284	508	351	425	681	603	470	814	783	501	146	948	172	403	515	344

[5] Some lawmakers have proposed a new national service organization called the Civilian Climate Corps. The Civilian Climate Corps will create tens of thousands of new jobs in federally-funded projects to help communities respond to climate change and transition to a clean energy economy. Civilian Climate Corps workers will be compensated at least \$15 an hour and have full healthcare benefits. Workers will also have access to educational and vocational training opportunities to build skills for future good-paying, union jobs.

Do you support or oppose the Civilian Climate Corps?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Strongly support	33	55	29	11	37	29	38	31	32	35	45	30	45	40	30	31
Somewhat support	30	32	33	25	32	27	31	29	28	33	31	31	23	30	30	28
Somewhat oppose	10	4	11	16	10	10	7	11	11	8	5	11	6	10	10	10
Strongly oppose	17	2	16	35	10	24	11	20	16	17	5	19	16	12	17	20
Don't know	10	8	11	13	11	10	13	9	13	6	13	9	10	8	13	10
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	63	87	62	36	69	56	69	60	60	68	76	61	68	70	60	59
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	27	6	27	51	20	34	18	31	27	25	10	30	22	22	27	30
SUPPORT (NET)	+36	+81	+35	-15	+49	+22	+51	+29	+33	+43	+66	+31	+46	+48	+33	+29
Weighted N	1,284	508	351	425	681	603	470	814	783	501	146	948	172	403	515	344

[6] Some lawmakers have proposed the BUILD GREEN Infrastructure and Jobs Act, which would invest \$500 billion over the next ten years in projects to electrify public transportation (like commuter trains and buses), install electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure nationwide, and expand clean energy production to power these new electric trains and vehicles.

Do you support or oppose the BUILD GREEN Infrastructure and Jobs Act?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Strongly support	30	52	25	8	32	28	33	29	27	34	45	26	37	37	26	28
Somewhat support	32	35	33	26	35	28	36	29	32	31	31	32	34	31	33	28
Somewhat oppose	13	5	14	21	11	15	10	14	12	13	10	14	7	12	13	13
Strongly oppose	18	2	19	35	13	23	10	22	19	17	6	22	8	12	19	22
Don't know	8	6	9	10	9	7	12	6	10	5	9	6	14	7	8	9
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	62	87	58	34	67	56	69	58	59	65	76	58	71	68	59	56
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	31	7	33	56	24	38	20	36	31	30	16	36	15	24	32	35
SUPPORT (NET)	+31	+80	+25	-22	+43	+18	+49	+22	+28	+35	+60	+22	+56	+44	+27	+21
Weighted N	1,284	508	351	425	681	603	470	814	783	501	146	948	172	403	515	344