

# DATA FOR PROGRESS

From June 23 to 27, 2022, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 2,698 likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is  $\pm 2$  percentage points.

N=2,698 unless otherwise specified. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

		D	I	R
<p><b>[1]</b> Which of the following comes closer to your view, even if neither is exactly right?</p>	The decision to join or form a union should be left entirely to the employees, and employers should remain neutral. .... <b>73%</b>	81%	70%	69%
	Employers should be able to persuade their employees about the decision to join or form a union. .... <b>16</b>	12	17	21
	Don't know ..... <b>10</b>	7	13	11
D I R				
<p><b>[2]</b> When employees try to form a union, employers are allowed to mandate that all the employees attend meetings where the company talks about its opposition to unionization.</p> <p>Which of the following comes closest to your view, even if neither is exactly right? (N=1,289)</p>	Employees should be required to attend mandatory anti-union meetings. .... <b>20%</b>	15%	20%	24%
	Employees should not be required to attend mandatory anti-union meetings. .... <b>69</b>	75	65	66
	Don't know ..... <b>12</b>	10	16	11
D I R				
<p><b>[3]</b> When employees try to form a union, employers are allowed to mandate that all the employees attend meetings where the company talks about its opposition to unionization.</p> <p>Some legislators are proposing a new rule where if an employer requires employees to attend meetings about its opposition to unionization, pro-union workers would be given an equal amount of time to communicate their message to employees.</p> <p>Do you support or oppose this proposal?</p>	Strongly support ..... 32%	38%	30%	26%
	Somewhat support ..... 36	33	35	39
	Somewhat oppose ..... 13	13	13	13
	Strongly oppose ..... 6	7	5	7
	Don't know ..... 14	10	16	15
	SUPPORT (TOTAL) ..... 68%	71%	65%	65%
	OPPOSE (TOTAL) ..... 19	20	18	20
SUPPORT (NET) ..... <b>+49</b>	+51	+47	+45	
D I R				
<p><b>[4]</b> When employees try to form a union, employers are allowed to mandate that all the employees attend meetings where the company talks about its opposition to unionization.</p> <p>Some legislators are proposing a new bill that would allow workers to opt out of these meetings, without penalty.</p> <p>Do you support or oppose this bill?</p>	Strongly support ..... 38%	48%	35%	31%
	Somewhat support ..... 35	33	35	37
	Somewhat oppose ..... 12	9	12	14
	Strongly oppose ..... 5	2	5	7
	Don't know ..... 11	8	13	11
	SUPPORT (TOTAL) ..... 73%	81%	70%	68%
	OPPOSE (TOTAL) ..... 17	11	17	21
SUPPORT (NET) ..... <b>+56</b>	+70	+53	+47	

**[5]** In most cases in the United States, workers can only form a union within their own workplace but not within their industry. Some lawmakers have proposed changing the law to make it easier for workers across workplaces to join together in an industry-wide union and negotiate with their employers together to set industry-wide wages, benefits, and working conditions.

Do you support or oppose allowing workers to form a union across their industry, not just within their own workplace, to negotiate wages, benefits, and working conditions industry-wide?

		D	I	R
Strongly support	24%	37%	22%	12%
Somewhat support	36	40	33	35
Somewhat oppose	17	12	17	21
Strongly oppose	10	3	9	18
Don't know	13	8	18	13
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	60%	77%	55%	47%
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	27	15	26	39
SUPPORT (NET)	<b>+33</b>	+62	+29	+8

**[6]** Federal, state, and local government dollars fund millions of essential jobs (such as caregiving and airport services). Some government officials are proposing a rule that these taxpayer funds should only go towards jobs that meet certain standards: paying a living wage, providing decent benefits and safe working conditions, and allowing workers to form a union if they choose.

Do you support or oppose the rule that taxpayer funds should only go towards jobs that meet certain standards?

		D	I	R
Strongly support	20%	28%	18%	14%
Somewhat support	37	36	40	36
Somewhat oppose	19	17	15	23
Strongly oppose	11	8	10	16
Don't know	13	11	17	12
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	57%	64%	58%	50%
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	30	25	25	39
SUPPORT (NET)	<b>+27</b>	+39	+33	+11

**[7]** Some state policymakers are proposing the FAST (Fast Food Accountability and Standards) Recovery Act. This act would bring together fast food workers, local franchise managers, large parent corporations like McDonald's, and government officials. As a group, they would then set standards on wages, health and safety, and other working conditions for the fast food sector.

The act also makes sure that large parent corporations share responsibilities and accountability with their local franchise managers if those standards are violated.

Do you support or oppose the FAST Recovery Act?

		D	I	R
Strongly support	23%	36%	20%	14%
Somewhat support	43	46	42	40
Somewhat oppose	14	8	14	20
Strongly oppose	9	2	9	15
Don't know	11	8	16	11
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	66%	82%	62%	54%
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	23	10	23	35
SUPPORT (NET)	<b>+43</b>	+72	+39	+19

**[8]** Would you be more or less likely to vote for a candidate in the November 2022 election if you learned they supported unionization efforts?

		D	I	R
Much more likely	15%	27%	10%	7%
Somewhat more likely	38	47	37	29
Somewhat less likely	17	8	17	26
Much less likely	10	2	11	17
Don't know	20	15	25	21
MORE LIKELY (TOTAL)	53%	74%	47%	36%
LESS LIKELY (TOTAL)	27	10	28	43
MORE LIKELY (NET)	<b>+26</b>	+64	+19	-7