

From March 3 to 6, 2023, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,226 likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is  $\pm 3$  percentage points.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,226 unless otherwise specified.

**[1]** Would you support or oppose **your state** investing public retirement funds in assets related to **clean energy**, like wind and solar power?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Strongly support	20	34	14	10	17	22	24	17	18	23	26	17	30
Somewhat support	33	38	34	27	33	34	38	31	30	38	38	32	37
Somewhat oppose	15	10	14	21	17	13	13	16	16	14	14	16	7
Strongly oppose	23	8	26	34	21	25	12	28	26	18	10	26	14
Don't know	9	9	12	7	12	6	13	8	10	7	12	8	13
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	53	72	48	37	50	56	62	48	48	61	64	49	67
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	38	18	40	55	38	38	25	44	42	32	24	42	21
SUPPORT (NET)	+15	+54	+8	-18	+12	+18	+37	+4	+6	+29	+40	+7	+46
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[2]** Congress recently passed a bill that would ban financial managers from considering environmental factors, such as climate risks or the riskiness of investing in fossil fuels, when deciding how to invest retirement funds.

This would mandate where retirement funds and pensions would be invested, essentially locking them into investing in risky big oil and gas companies and taking away the flexibility to invest in new clean energy projects like wind and solar power.

Knowing what you know now, do you think financial managers should be allowed to consider environmental factors when making investment decisions for retirement funds? (N=626)

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White
Financial managers should be allowed to consider environmental factors, such as climate risks or the riskiness of investing in fossil fuels, when making investment decisions for retirement funds	50	62	55	36	51	50	52	50	46	59	59	49
Financial managers should not be allowed to consider environmental factors, such as climate risks or the riskiness of investing in fossil fuels, when making investment decisions for retirement funds	36	26	35	48	34	40	28	40	38	34	30	39
Don't know	13	12	10	16	15	11	20	10	16	7	11	12
Weighted N	626	221	177	227	333	293	198	428	397	229	60	488

**[3]** Congress recently passed a bill that would ban financial managers from considering environmental factors, such as climate risks or the riskiness of investing in fossil fuels, when deciding how to invest retirement funds.

This means financial managers could not make strategic investments in the clean energy sector that would provide taxpayers and retirees future economic benefits.

Knowing what you know now, do you think state investment managers should be allowed to consider environmental factors when making investment decisions for public retirement funds? (N=599)

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White
Financial managers should be allowed to consider environmental factors, such as climate risks or the riskiness of investing in fossil fuels, when making investment decisions for retirement funds	54	71	52	38	55	53	61	51	48	65	53
Financial managers should not be allowed to consider environmental factors, such as climate risks or the riskiness of investing in fossil fuels, when making investment decisions for retirement funds	34	18	32	54	31	38	28	37	38	27	36
Don't know	12	11	17	8	14	9	10	12	13	8	11
Weighted N	599	224	167	208	319	280	194	405	379	220	467

**[4]** Congress recently passed a bill that would ban financial managers from considering environmental factors, such as an investment's impact on driving climate change or the riskiness of fossil fuel investments, when deciding how to invest retirement funds.

Knowing what you know now, do you think President Biden should veto this bill, or do you think he should sign the bill into law?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
President Biden should veto the bill	48	51	44	47	48	48	44	50	46	51	38	50	44
President Biden should sign the bill into law	33	31	32	35	27	39	35	32	32	34	41	31	39
Don't know	19	18	24	17	25	13	21	18	22	15	21	19	17
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[5]** How much have you read or heard about Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) investing, if at all?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
A lot	7	7	9	6	4	11	8	7	6	9	12	6	10
A little	36	32	37	39	31	41	37	35	34	39	46	35	36
Not at all	57	61	55	55	65	48	55	58	61	52	42	59	53
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[6]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) investing

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	11	19	10	5	9	14	15	9	9	15	20	9	18
Somewhat favorable	19	25	15	16	16	22	19	19	19	18	22	18	19
Somewhat unfavorable	10	5	11	14	8	13	11	10	11	9	13	10	14
Very unfavorable	12	2	15	19	7	17	7	14	12	12	2	13	7
Haven't heard enough to say	48	49	49	46	60	35	49	48	49	46	42	50	40
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	30	44	25	21	25	36	34	28	28	33	42	27	37
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	22	7	26	33	15	30	18	24	23	21	15	23	21
FAVORABLE (NET)	+8	+37	-1	-12	+10	+6	+16	+4	+5	+12	+27	+4	+16
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[7]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Responsible investing

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	34	36	35	32	30	39	33	35	33	37	42	32	33
Somewhat favorable	32	35	27	33	31	33	34	32	33	31	23	34	39
Somewhat unfavorable	5	3	5	6	5	5	7	4	5	4	6	5	6
Very unfavorable	4	1	5	6	3	6	3	4	3	5	6	4	3
Haven't heard enough to say	24	25	27	22	31	17	23	25	26	22	24	25	18
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	66	71	62	65	61	72	67	67	66	68	65	66	72
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	9	4	10	12	8	11	10	8	8	9	12	9	9
FAVORABLE (NET)	+57	+67	+52	+53	+53	+61	+57	+59	+58	+59	+53	+57	+63
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[8]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Sustainable investing

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	22	29	21	15	18	27	26	20	21	24	33	20	26
Somewhat favorable	32	35	31	30	30	34	35	31	31	34	29	32	37
Somewhat unfavorable	8	4	7	14	8	9	8	8	9	7	8	9	12
Very unfavorable	7	2	10	10	5	9	3	8	6	9	1	8	2
Haven't heard enough to say	31	31	31	31	39	22	29	32	34	26	29	32	23
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	54	64	52	45	48	61	61	51	52	58	62	52	63
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	15	6	17	24	13	18	11	16	15	16	9	17	14
FAVORABLE (NET)	+39	+58	+35	+21	+35	+43	+50	+35	+37	+42	+53	+35	+49
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[9]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Conscious investing

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	22	28	20	19	19	26	25	21	21	26	31	20	27
Somewhat favorable	29	34	27	27	28	32	31	29	31	28	22	30	33
Somewhat unfavorable	8	4	8	10	5	11	10	6	8	8	10	7	10
Very unfavorable	6	1	7	9	4	7	4	6	5	6	3	6	6
Haven't heard enough to say	35	32	38	35	44	25	30	37	36	33	34	37	24
<b>FAVORABLE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>FAVORABLE (NET)</b>	<b>+37</b>	<b>+57</b>	<b>+32</b>	<b>+27</b>	<b>+38</b>	<b>+40</b>	<b>+42</b>	<b>+38</b>	<b>+39</b>	<b>+40</b>	<b>+40</b>	<b>+37</b>	<b>+44</b>
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[10]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Woke investing

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	8	15	5	5	6	11	13	6	8	9	22	5	17
Somewhat favorable	11	17	8	8	10	13	17	9	10	13	14	11	18
Somewhat unfavorable	10	8	13	9	9	11	13	8	9	10	14	9	12
Very unfavorable	26	8	28	43	21	32	17	31	26	27	7	30	15
Haven't heard enough to say	45	52	46	36	55	32	41	46	46	42	43	45	37
<b>FAVORABLE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>FAVORABLE (NET)</b>	<b>-17</b>	<b>+16</b>	<b>-28</b>	<b>-39</b>	<b>-14</b>	<b>-19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-24</b>	<b>-17</b>	<b>-15</b>	<b>+15</b>	<b>-23</b>	<b>+8</b>
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[11]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Woke capitalism

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	7	13	5	3	5	10	11	5	7	8	18	5	14
Somewhat favorable	12	18	8	9	9	15	17	9	11	13	17	10	24
Somewhat unfavorable	9	10	12	7	9	9	11	9	10	8	14	9	10
Very unfavorable	30	11	32	47	24	37	22	33	31	28	9	34	21
Haven't heard enough to say	42	49	44	34	53	29	39	43	42	43	42	43	31
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	19	31	13	12	14	25	28	14	18	21	35	15	38
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	39	21	44	54	33	46	33	42	41	36	23	43	31
FAVORABLE (NET)	-20	+10	-31	-42	-19	-21	-5	-28	-23	-15	+12	-28	+7
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129

**[12]** Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion about the following concepts?

— Freedom to invest

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Very favorable	37	31	36	45	30	46	33	40	38	37	39	37	31
Somewhat favorable	31	37	31	24	31	30	32	30	29	33	29	31	37
Somewhat unfavorable	4	3	4	4	3	5	6	3	4	4	7	3	6
Very unfavorable	3	1	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	6
Haven't heard enough to say	25	28	26	22	33	16	25	25	26	24	21	26	20
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	68	68	67	69	61	76	65	70	67	70	68	68	68
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	7	4	8	8	6	8	10	6	7	7	11	6	12
FAVORABLE (NET)	+61	+64	+59	+61	+55	+68	+55	+64	+60	+63	+57	+62	+56
Weighted N	1,226	439	347	439	652	574	394	832	777	449	117	955	129