

DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

From July 28 to 29, 2023, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,537 likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is ± 2 percentage points.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,537 unless otherwise specified.

[1] Some politicians have proposed **cutting** future Social Security benefits for Americans currently under 50. If enacted, Americans currently under 50 would receive fewer Social Security benefits when they retire than those who receive Social Security benefits today.

Do you support or oppose **cutting** future Social Security benefits for Americans under 50?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Strongly support	4	5	4	4	2	6	6	3	3	6	4	4	5
Somewhat support	9	9	10	9	7	12	12	8	8	11	6	9	13
Somewhat oppose	22	19	21	26	21	23	21	23	21	24	20	22	18
Strongly oppose	60	65	59	57	64	56	55	63	64	55	63	61	60
Don't know	4	3	6	4	5	3	6	3	4	4	7	4	2
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	13	14	14	13	9	18	18	11	11	17	10	13	18
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	82	84	80	83	85	79	76	86	85	79	83	83	78
SUPPORT (NET)	-69	-70	-66	-70	-76	-61	-58	-75	-74	-62	-73	-70	-60
Weighted N	1,537	562	415	560	822	715	527	1,010	985	552	168	1,117	196

[2] Some politicians have proposed **cutting** future Social Security benefits for Americans currently under 50.

Supporters argue that this could ensure Social Security remains financially solvent — meaning the program would have enough funds to fully pay out beneficiaries — without affecting seniors currently receiving Social Security benefits.

Opponents argue that cutting Social Security benefits would be unfair to younger generations, and other options, like raising taxes on the wealthy, could protect the solvency of the program without harming millions of Americans.

Which of these statements best describes your view, even if neither is exactly right?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
We should cut Social Security benefits for Americans currently under 50 to ensure the long-term financial sustainability of Social Security without raising taxes.	15	10	12	22	13	17	19	13	13	18	11	15	17
We should raise taxes on the wealthy to ensure the long-term financial sustainability of Social Security without cutting benefits for Americans currently under 50.	74	83	76	63	76	71	71	76	76	70	77	75	68
Don't know	11	7	12	15	11	11	11	11	11	12	12	10	15
Weighted N	1,537	562	415	560	822	715	527	1,010	985	552	168	1,117	196

[3] Would you be more or less likely to vote for a candidate who supported **cutting** future Social Security benefits for Americans currently under 50?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino/a
Much more likely	4	6	3	4	3	6	6	4	4	6	9	4	6
Somewhat more likely	9	8	8	11	8	10	13	7	9	9	9	8	15
Somewhat less likely	20	15	19	25	20	20	19	20	19	21	21	20	15
Much less likely	52	61	53	41	54	50	47	54	55	46	48	53	50
Wouldn't affect my choice	15	9	17	19	15	14	15	15	13	17	14	15	15
MORE LIKELY (TOTAL)	13	14	11	15	11	16	19	11	13	15	18	12	21
LESS LIKELY (TOTAL)	72	76	72	66	74	70	66	74	74	67	69	73	65
MORE LIKELY (NET)	-59	-62	-61	-51	-63	-54	-47	-63	-61	-52	-51	-61	-44
Weighted N	1,537	562	415	560	822	715	527	1,010	985	552	168	1,117	196