

# DATA FOR PROGRESS

From January 13 to 14, 2024, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,196 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is  $\pm 3$  percentage points.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,196 unless otherwise specified.

**[1]** Do you support or oppose the U.S. government publicly releasing a higher amount of data on gun violence in the U.S., including data on the largest suppliers of guns used in crimes?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	37	58	32	17	37	37	37	37	32	46	38	35	36
Somewhat support	30	25	30	35	31	29	31	29	30	30	31	30	28
Somewhat oppose	14	7	18	19	11	18	16	13	16	11	13	15	12
Strongly oppose	8	5	6	12	7	8	6	9	9	6	7	8	7
Don't know	12	5	14	17	14	8	10	13	13	9	11	12	17
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	67	83	62	52	68	66	68	66	62	76	69	65	64
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	22	12	24	31	18	26	22	22	25	17	20	23	19
SUPPORT (NET)	+45	+71	+38	+21	+50	+40	+46	+44	+37	+59	+49	+42	+45
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

**[2]** Some lawmakers have called upon the U.S. military and other federal agencies that purchase firearms to only buy from manufacturers that agree to adopt a code of conduct.

The code of conduct could include only selling to responsible dealers who refuse to sell to individuals unless they have passed a criminal background check.

Do you support or oppose this proposal for the government to only buy firearms from manufacturers that follow a code of conduct?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	48	65	47	32	52	43	46	50	45	53	45	48	49
Somewhat support	28	22	26	36	28	29	31	27	28	29	29	29	24
Somewhat oppose	8	5	9	11	7	9	10	7	11	4	9	7	11
Strongly oppose	8	3	6	14	5	11	5	9	7	9	6	9	4
Don't know	8	5	11	7	8	7	7	8	9	5	11	7	11
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	76	87	73	68	80	72	77	77	73	82	74	77	73
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	16	8	15	25	12	20	15	16	18	13	15	16	15
SUPPORT (NET)	+60	+79	+58	+43	+68	+52	+62	+61	+55	+69	+59	+61	+58
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

**[3]** Some lawmakers have proposed a new rule for all companies selling firearms to the U.S. government.

This would require all companies selling firearms to the U.S. government to **refuse** to sell military-grade weapons to American citizens.

Do you support or oppose this proposal?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	43	66	35	24	49	37	45	42	37	54	45	42	44
Somewhat support	21	19	21	24	22	21	23	20	23	17	25	21	25
Somewhat oppose	14	5	18	20	12	17	13	15	16	11	10	16	8
Strongly oppose	15	5	15	26	10	21	12	16	15	15	8	16	13
Don't know	7	4	11	6	8	5	7	6	9	2	12	6	10
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	64	85	56	48	71	58	68	62	60	71	70	63	69
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	29	10	33	46	22	38	25	31	31	26	18	32	21
SUPPORT (NET)	+35	+75	+23	+2	+49	+20	+43	+31	+29	+45	+52	+31	+48
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

**[4]** Currently, about 1 in 4 rifles in the U.S. are imported from foreign countries. Many of these rifles are allowed to be imported because they are for "sporting purposes."

Some lawmakers say that the U.S. government should re-evaluate which firearms qualify to be imported for "sporting purposes," for the first time in more than a decade, to ensure they are still being used for legitimate sporting purposes.

Opponents say that this would just lead to more regulations on law-abiding American citizens who use guns for hunting and organized competitive target shooting.

Do you support or oppose the U.S. government re-evaluating which firearms are eligible to be imported for "sporting purposes?"

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	35	58	28	16	35	35	35	35	31	42	40	34	34
Somewhat support	27	29	29	23	29	25	34	23	29	24	35	25	33
Somewhat oppose	14	5	17	22	14	14	13	15	15	12	10	15	12
Strongly oppose	16	3	17	30	12	21	10	20	17	15	5	19	11
Don't know	8	6	9	8	10	5	7	8	9	6	10	7	10
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	62	87	57	39	64	60	69	58	60	66	75	59	67
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	30	8	34	52	26	35	23	35	32	27	15	34	23
SUPPORT (NET)	+32	+79	+23	-13	+38	+25	+46	+23	+28	+39	+60	+25	+44
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

**[5]** The U.S. Department of State and Department of Commerce currently have various regulations over when they can approve U.S. companies to sell assault weapons to purchasers in other countries. There are also rules regarding the steps that the State and Commerce Departments must take when approving these exports of assault weapons to purchasers in other countries.

For example, the Department of State is required to notify Congress whenever it approves an export of more than \$1 million in firearms to another country.

When thinking about the regulations that restrict assault weapon exports, which of the following comes closest to your view, even if none are exactly right?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase these regulations.	39	56	31	26	41	36	40	38	35	45	40	38	36
We should keep these regulations in place.	41	32	45	48	40	43	36	44	42	39	39	42	41
We should cut back on these regulations.	9	5	9	14	7	12	10	9	10	8	10	9	8
Don't know	11	8	14	12	13	8	13	9	13	8	11	10	16
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

**[6]** Recently, a new "tag" was created for firearm and ammunition retailers, similar to the standard "tags" that exist to categorize other types of retailers. This means that every time someone makes a purchase from a gun shop with their credit card, it is tagged as a firearm-related purchase.

Supporters say that this tag filled a gap in the existing list of codes and is important to help prevent mass shootings and save lives. Banks and credit card networks can use the data from these tags to detect suspicious patterns of firearm purchasing, such as patterns associated with straw purchasing, gun trafficking, or stockpiling of thousands of dollars' worth of firearms within a few days. They could then report the activity to investigators to prevent gun violence, similar to how they report other types of suspicious purchases.

Opponents say that these tags are unnecessary and are an attempt to restrict Americans' freedom to own firearms. They say that Americans should have the freedom to purchase firearms as they wish without their purchases being tracked and analyzed. They argue that these tags would violate the constitutional rights of American citizens, intrude on consumer privacy, and potentially cause issues for gun stores and consumers that are abiding by the law.

Do you think credit card companies should be **required** to tag firearm-related purchases, **allowed** to tag firearm-related purchases but not required, or **banned** from tagging firearm-related purchases?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Credit card companies should be required to tag firearm-related purchases	39	60	32	20	40	37	37	40	37	42	41	37	42
Credit card companies should be allowed to tag firearm-related purchases, but not required	26	23	28	27	28	22	33	21	24	29	31	24	26
Credit card companies should be banned from tagging firearm-related purchases	25	7	28	42	20	32	18	30	27	22	14	28	17
Don't know	11	10	12	10	12	9	12	9	13	7	15	10	15
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

**[7]** Currently, there is no limit on how much ammunition an American can rapidly stockpile.

Would you support or oppose placing a limit on how much ammunition an American can stockpile in a given time period?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	31	54	23	13	36	25	29	32	27	39	36	31	23
Somewhat support	24	27	24	21	24	25	31	21	25	24	26	22	34
Somewhat oppose	15	6	18	21	14	15	14	15	15	13	12	15	18
Strongly oppose	24	8	27	40	18	31	19	27	26	21	16	27	15
Don't know	6	4	9	5	8	4	7	5	7	3	10	5	9
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	55	81	47	34	60	50	60	53	52	63	62	53	57
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	39	14	45	61	32	46	33	42	41	34	28	42	33
SUPPORT (NET)	+16	+67	+2	-27	+28	+4	+27	+11	+11	+29	+34	+11	+24
Weighted N	1,196	458	308	430	640	556	431	765	767	429	130	869	152

# DATA FOR **PROGRESS**

From January 10 to 11, 2024, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,223 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and voting history. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error is  $\pm 3$  percentage points.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,223 unless otherwise specified.

**[1]** If Congress is **unable** to pass legislation to prevent gun violence and limit the sale of assault weapons, would you support or oppose President Biden passing an order that would use his **executive authority** to do so?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	33	63	28	7	37	29	33	33	30	39	36	32	28
Somewhat support	20	24	22	14	20	20	25	17	18	23	31	17	27
Somewhat oppose	10	5	7	18	10	10	14	8	11	9	15	9	13
Strongly oppose	32	4	37	57	27	38	22	38	36	26	12	38	26
Don't know	5	3	7	5	6	3	7	3	5	3	6	4	6
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	53	87	50	21	57	49	58	50	48	62	67	49	55
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	42	9	44	75	37	48	36	46	47	35	27	47	39
SUPPORT (NET)	+11	+78	+6	-54	+20	+1	+22	+4	+1	+27	+40	+2	+16
Weighted N	1,223	455	315	453	654	569	428	795	784	439	134	888	166