

From June 20 to 21, 2025, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,166 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and recalled presidential vote. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error associated with the sample size is  $\pm 3$  percentage points. Results for subgroups of the sample are subject to increased margins of error. Partisanship reflected in tabulations is based on self-identified party affiliation, not partisan registration. For more information please visit [dataforprogress.org/our-methodology](https://dataforprogress.org/our-methodology).

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,166 unless otherwise specified.

**[1]** Based on your current knowledge, do you think the Trump administration's actions are more likely to raise or lower housing costs for people like you?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Inde- pendent / Third party	Repub lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very likely to raise costs	36	64	34	10	39	31	36	35	35	37	62	30	37	42	30	30	64	8
Likely to raise costs	27	26	26	28	24	31	29	26	28	26	19	27	33	22	33	28	26	28
Likely to lower costs	24	4	25	41	20	28	22	25	22	27	7	28	18	27	22	20	3	43
Very likely to lower costs	6	1	4	13	8	5	6	7	7	5	5	8	7	7	6	6	1	12
Don't know	7	5	11	8	9	5	8	7	8	5	7	7	5	2	9	16	5	9
RAISE COSTS (TOTAL)	63	90	60	38	63	62	65	61	63	63	81	57	70	64	63	58	90	36
LOWER COSTS (TOTAL)	30	5	29	54	28	33	28	32	29	32	12	36	25	34	28	26	4	55
RAISE COSTS (NET)	+33	+85	+31	-16	+35	+29	+37	+29	+34	+31	+69	+21	+45	+30	+35	+32	+86	-19
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582

**[2]** Decades ago, Congress created Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac so that more Americans could buy homes with safe, affordable government-regulated mortgage loans. Together, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac backstop about 70% of all mortgages in the U.S. After the housing market crashed in 2008, the federal government stepped in to oversee both institutions and help stabilize the housing market. Since then, they have been under a form of government oversight called conservatorship.

The Trump administration is thinking about ending Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's conservatorship, which would allow the for-profit companies to operate with less oversight. They say this would cut down on unnecessary rules, waste, fraud, and abuse.

Below is a list of possible effects if Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are privatized. For each one, **please say how concerned you are, if at all.**

— Mortgage interest rates could rise for homebuyers and housing developers

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very concerned	46	62	52	28	49	43	41	48	44	50	63	42	49	52	42	41	64	28
Somewhat concerned	24	20	21	28	23	24	22	24	25	21	16	24	27	21	29	21	18	29
A little concerned	13	9	11	19	12	15	19	11	13	14	8	15	12	13	15	13	8	18
Not concerned at all	10	3	9	17	9	12	10	10	10	10	6	12	6	11	9	9	4	16
Don't know	7	6	7	8	8	6	7	7	9	4	7	7	6	4	7	16	5	8
MORE CONCERNED (TOTAL)	70	82	73	56	72	67	63	72	69	71	79	66	76	73	71	62	82	57
LESS CONCERNED (TOTAL)	23	12	20	36	21	27	29	21	23	24	14	27	18	24	24	22	12	34
MORE CONCERNED (NET)	+47	+70	+53	+20	+51	+40	+34	+51	+46	+47	+65	+39	+58	+49	+47	+40	+70	+23
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582

**[3]** Decades ago, Congress created Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac so that more Americans could buy homes with safe, affordable government-regulated mortgage loans. Together, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac backstop about 70% of all mortgages in the U.S. After the housing market crashed in 2008, the federal government stepped in to oversee both institutions and help stabilize the housing market. Since then, they have been under a form of government oversight called conservatorship.

The Trump administration is thinking about ending Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's conservatorship, which would allow the for-profit companies to operate with less oversight. They say this would cut down on unnecessary rules, waste, fraud, and abuse.

Below is a list of possible effects if Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are privatized. For each one, **please say how concerned you are, if at all.**

— Privatization could increase lending costs for the developers that build apartments and condos, leading to higher rents for tenants

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very concerned	39	59	37	22	42	36	37	40	38	42	50	37	40	47	33	33	57	21
Somewhat concerned	24	17	26	30	22	27	26	23	25	22	16	24	25	21	31	17	18	30
A little concerned	17	12	18	21	15	20	19	16	16	18	14	19	15	17	17	18	12	22
Not concerned at all	11	5	8	19	11	12	12	11	12	10	11	12	9	11	12	12	6	17
Don't know	8	7	11	8	10	6	6	9	8	8	8	8	12	4	8	19	7	9
MORE CONCERNED (TOTAL)	63	76	63	52	64	63	63	63	63	64	66	61	65	68	64	50	75	51
LESS CONCERNED (TOTAL)	28	17	26	40	26	32	31	27	28	28	25	31	24	28	29	30	18	39
MORE CONCERNED (NET)	+35	+59	+37	+12	+38	+31	+32	+36	+35	+36	+41	+30	+41	+40	+35	+20	+57	+12
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582

**[4]** Decades ago, Congress created Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac so that more Americans could buy homes with safe, affordable government-regulated mortgage loans. Together, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac backstop about 70% of all mortgages in the U.S. After the housing market crashed in 2008, the federal government stepped in to oversee both institutions and help stabilize the housing market. Since then, they have been under a form of government oversight called conservatorship.

The Trump administration is thinking about ending Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's conservatorship, which would allow the for-profit companies to operate with less oversight. They say this would cut down on unnecessary rules, waste, fraud, and abuse.

Below is a list of possible effects if Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are privatized. For each one, **please say how concerned you are, if at all.**

— Lenders could enact stricter borrowing requirements, making it harder for some homebuyers to qualify for a mortgage loan

Response	Topline	Democrat	Inde- pendent / Third party	Repub- lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very concerned	41	60	43	23	44	38	38	43	41	42	55	39	43	49	36	35	58	25
Somewhat concerned	25	21	26	28	24	25	29	23	25	25	27	23	26	25	26	23	23	26
A little concerned	15	9	13	21	10	20	16	14	14	16	5	17	13	12	18	13	8	21
Not concerned at all	11	3	9	20	10	12	8	13	11	11	5	13	9	11	12	9	4	19
Don't know	8	7	9	9	11	5	8	8	9	6	8	8	9	3	8	20	7	9
MORE CONCERNED (TOTAL)	66	81	69	51	68	63	67	66	66	67	82	62	69	74	62	58	81	51
LESS CONCERNED (TOTAL)	26	12	22	41	20	32	24	27	25	27	10	30	22	23	30	22	12	40
MORE CONCERNED (NET)	+40	+69	+47	+10	+48	+31	+43	+39	+41	+40	+72	+32	+47	+51	+32	+36	+69	+11
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582

[5] Decades ago, Congress created Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac so that more Americans could buy homes with safe, affordable government-regulated mortgage loans. Together, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac backstop about 70% of all mortgages in the U.S. After the housing market crashed in 2008, the federal government stepped in to oversee both institutions and help stabilize the housing market. Since then, they have been under a form of government oversight called conservatorship.

The Trump administration is thinking about ending Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's conservatorship, which would allow the for-profit companies to operate with less oversight. They say this would cut down on unnecessary rules, waste, fraud, and abuse.

Below is a list of possible effects if Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are privatized. For each one, **please say how concerned you are, if at all.**

— Privatization could eliminate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's affordable housing goals, which increase access to mortgages for lower-income homebuyers and those in minority neighborhoods

Response	Topline	Democrat	Inde- pendent / Third party	Repub- lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very concerned	40	60	44	19	42	38	35	42	39	42	55	38	40	46	38	29	62	20
Somewhat concerned	23	19	23	26	23	22	25	22	23	22	21	21	23	22	25	19	19	25
A little concerned	17	7	15	27	13	21	20	16	16	19	8	19	18	15	18	18	6	28
Not concerned at all	11	6	8	17	10	12	12	11	12	10	7	13	9	12	12	9	6	16
Don't know	9	8	10	10	12	6	9	9	10	7	9	9	10	5	7	25	8	11
MORE CONCERNED (TOTAL)	63	79	67	45	65	60	60	64	62	64	76	59	63	68	63	48	81	45
LESS CONCERNED (TOTAL)	28	13	23	44	23	33	32	27	28	29	15	32	27	27	30	27	12	44
MORE CONCERNED (NET)	+35	+66	+44	+1	+42	+27	+28	+37	+34	+35	+61	+27	+36	+41	+33	+21	+69	+1
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582

**[6]** Decades ago, Congress created Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac so that more Americans could buy homes with safe, affordable government-regulated mortgage loans. Together, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac backstop about 70% of all mortgages in the U.S. After the housing market crashed in 2008, the federal government stepped in to oversee both institutions and help stabilize the housing market. Since then, they have been under a form of government oversight called conservatorship.

The Trump administration is thinking about ending Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac's conservatorship, which would allow the for-profit companies to operate with less oversight. They say this would cut down on unnecessary rules, waste, fraud, and abuse.

Below is a list of possible effects if Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are privatized. For each one, **please say how concerned you are, if at all.**

— Privatization would push out smaller lenders, which could lead to less mortgage access in rural areas and inner cities

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very concerned	38	57	36	20	41	34	35	39	36	40	56	34	39	47	29	33	57	19
Somewhat concerned	27	24	30	29	26	29	30	26	27	28	26	28	23	24	36	20	25	29
A little concerned	16	9	15	25	12	22	18	16	17	16	6	18	20	17	16	17	7	26
Not concerned at all	10	3	8	17	9	10	9	10	10	9	4	11	7	10	11	8	4	16
Don't know	9	7	11	9	12	5	9	8	10	6	8	8	11	3	8	23	7	10
MORE CONCERNED (TOTAL)	65	81	66	49	67	63	65	65	63	68	82	62	62	71	65	53	82	48
LESS CONCERNED (TOTAL)	26	12	23	42	21	32	27	26	27	25	10	29	27	27	27	25	11	42
MORE CONCERNED (NET)	+39	+69	+43	+7	+46	+31	+38	+39	+36	+43	+72	+33	+35	+44	+38	+28	+71	+6
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582

**[7]** Having read more about the potential effects of privatizing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, do you think the Trump administration's actions are more likely to raise or lower housing costs for people like you?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino	Consumes a great deal or a lot of political news	Consumes a moderate amount of political news	Consumes a little or no political news	2024 Harris voter	2024 Trump voter
Very likely to raise costs	36	60	38	11	39	32	36	36	34	39	51	32	41	42	35	22	63	10
Likely to raise costs	29	24	29	34	28	30	27	30	30	27	26	29	26	25	33	32	24	34
Likely to lower costs	20	7	19	32	15	25	22	19	19	21	11	22	20	23	16	20	6	33
Very likely to lower costs	5	1	1	9	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	6	3	6	3	3	1	8
Don't know	11	7	12	13	12	9	11	10	12	8	8	11	11	4	13	22	6	14
RAISE COSTS (TOTAL)	65	84	67	45	67	62	63	66	64	66	77	61	67	67	68	54	87	44
LOWER COSTS (TOTAL)	25	8	20	41	20	29	26	24	24	26	15	28	23	29	19	23	7	41
RAISE COSTS (NET)	+40	+76	+47	+4	+47	+33	+37	+42	+40	+40	+62	+33	+44	+38	+49	+31	+80	+3
Weighted N	1,166	448	240	478	622	544	364	802	751	415	126	831	155	526	427	213	562	582