

From September 12 to 15, 2025, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 823 likely voters in Massachusetts using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and recalled presidential vote. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error associated with the sample size is ± 3 percentage points. Results for subgroups of the sample are subject to increased margins of error. Partisanship reflected in tabulations is based on self-identified party affiliation, not partisan registration. For more information please visit dataforprogress.org/our-methodology.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=823 unless otherwise specified.

[1] Some lawmakers are considering a "climate superfund" bill that would require oil and gas companies to pay a share of the cost of climate damages caused by their pollution.

Do you support or oppose a "climate superfund" bill that would require oil and gas companies to pay a share of the cost of climate damages caused by their pollution?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White
Strongly support	35	50	29	16	32	38	35	35	33	37	34
Somewhat support	38	38	40	33	42	33	44	35	36	40	37
Somewhat oppose	12	8	13	18	12	12	12	12	15	8	12
Strongly oppose	10	1	10	28	6	14	5	12	8	12	11
Don't know	6	4	8	5	8	3	6	6	7	4	6
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	73	88	69	49	74	71	79	70	69	77	71
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	22	9	23	46	18	26	17	24	23	20	23
SUPPORT (NET)	+51	+79	+46	+3	+56	+45	+62	+46	+46	+57	+48
Weighted N	823	323	348	152	443	380	260	563	445	378	668

[2] Would you be more or less likely to vote for a candidate who supports a "climate superfund" bill that would require oil and gas companies to pay a share of the cost of climate damages caused by their pollution, or would it not affect how you vote?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White
Much more likely to vote for that candidate	25	36	19	14	19	33	26	25	22	28	26
Somewhat more likely to vote for that candidate	35	40	35	21	40	29	50	27	33	37	34
Somewhat less likely to vote for that candidate	8	5	9	11	7	9	7	9	8	8	7
Much less likely to vote for that candidate	11	3	10	29	8	14	5	14	11	10	12
Wouldn't affect how I vote	21	15	26	25	26	16	12	26	26	16	22
MORE LIKELY (TOTAL)	60	76	54	35	59	62	76	52	55	65	60
LESS LIKELY (TOTAL)	19	8	19	40	15	23	12	23	19	18	19
MORE LIKELY (NET)	+41	+68	+35	-5	+44	+39	+64	+29	+36	+47	+41
Weighted N	823	323	348	152	443	380	260	563	445	378	668

[3] A recent report by Bloomberg News estimated that climate-related extreme weather events cost the U.S. economy \$1 trillion last year.

Right now, much of those costs are paid by individuals through higher insurance premiums and taxes, and by governments through taxpayer-funded disaster relief programs.

Having read more, do you support or oppose a "climate superfund" bill being considered by some lawmakers that would require oil and gas companies to pay a share of the cost of climate damages caused by their pollution?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White
Strongly support	34	44	31	19	32	36	33	34	30	38	35
Somewhat support	40	43	39	33	42	37	51	34	40	39	37
Somewhat oppose	11	5	13	20	11	11	8	12	14	8	12
Strongly oppose	9	1	9	23	6	12	4	11	7	11	10
Don't know	7	6	8	5	10	3	4	8	9	5	6
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	74	87	70	52	74	73	84	68	70	77	72
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	20	6	22	43	17	23	12	23	21	19	22
SUPPORT (NET)	+54	+81	+48	+9	+57	+50	+72	+45	+49	+58	+50
Weighted N	823	323	348	152	443	380	260	563	445	378	668

[4] A recent report by Bloomberg News estimated that climate-related extreme weather events cost the U.S. economy \$1 trillion last year, much of which was paid for by individuals and governments.

Having read more, would you be more or less likely to vote for a candidate who supports a "climate superfund" bill that would require oil and gas companies to pay a share of the cost of climate damages caused by their pollution, or would it not affect how you vote?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White
Much more likely to vote for that candidate	29	41	22	19	28	31	33	27	28	31	29
Somewhat more likely to vote for that candidate	34	37	36	23	37	31	42	31	31	38	34
Somewhat less likely to vote for that candidate	10	8	9	19	9	12	12	10	12	9	9
Much less likely to vote for that candidate	10	3	9	25	7	13	6	12	9	10	11
Wouldn't affect how I vote	16	11	23	13	19	13	8	20	19	13	17
MORE LIKELY (TOTAL)	63	78	58	42	65	62	75	58	59	69	63
LESS LIKELY (TOTAL)	20	11	18	44	16	25	18	22	21	19	20
MORE LIKELY (NET)	+43	+67	+40	-2	+49	+37	+57	+36	+38	+50	+43
Weighted N	823	323	348	152	443	380	260	563	445	378	668

[5] Do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

"Oil and gas companies that knew about the polluting impact of burning fossil fuels and chose to intentionally mislead the public about it to protect their profits should be held accountable."

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	White
Strongly agree	51	64	49	28	51	51	47	52	49	53	51
Somewhat agree	31	28	31	39	31	31	39	28	30	32	30
Somewhat disagree	8	3	9	17	6	11	6	9	9	6	9
Strongly disagree	5	1	5	12	5	4	4	5	5	4	6
Don't know	5	4	6	4	6	3	4	5	6	4	5
AGREE (TOTAL)	82	92	80	67	82	82	86	80	79	85	81
DISAGREE (TOTAL)	13	4	14	29	11	15	10	14	14	10	15
AGREE (NET)	+69	+88	+66	+38	+71	+67	+76	+66	+65	+75	+66
Weighted N	823	323	348	152	443	380	260	563	445	378	668