📊 DATA FOR *PROGRESS*

From March 13 to 15, 2026, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,259 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and recalled presidential vote. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error associated with the sample size is ±3 percentage points. Results for subgroups of the sample are subject to increased margins of error. Partisanship reflected in tabulations is based on self-identified party affiliation, not partisan registration. For more information please visit dataforprogress.org/our-methodology.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,259 unless otherwise specified.

[1] Prediction markets like Polymarket, Kalshi, and PredictIt allow users to wager money on potential government actions, ranging from what politicians will say in speeches to whether the U.S. will carry out a military strike.

Do you think these types of wagers should or should not be allowed?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
These types of wagers should be allowed.	28	28	24	30	22	35	44	20	24	35	34	28	35
These types of wagers should not be allowed.	59	60	61	57	64	54	47	65	61	57	52	61	52
Don't know	13	12	16	12	14	11	9	14	15	8	14	12	13
Weighted N	1,259	492	284	483	672	587	408	851	811	448	137	899	170

[2] Prediction markets like Polymarket, Kalshi, and PredictIt allow users to wager money on political events.

Some lawmakers in Congress have proposed banning the president, vice president, and members of Congress from using these prediction markets.

Do you support or oppose this proposal?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Strongly support	41	48	42	34	38	46	33	46	38	47	38	44	19
Somewhat support	26	25	23	29	25	27	35	22	24	29	32	24	36
Somewhat oppose	12	9	14	15	14	11	15	11	14	9	11	11	19
Strongly oppose	10	9	10	10	9	10	8	10	10	8	9	10	12
Don't know	10	9	11	12	14	6	9	11	13	6	10	11	13
SUPPORT (TOTAL)	67	73	65	63	63	73	68	68	62	76	70	68	55
OPPOSE (TOTAL)	22	18	24	25	23	21	23	21	24	17	20	21	31
SUPPORT (NET)	+45	+55	+41	+38	+40	+52	+45	+47	+38	+59	+50	+47	+24
Weighted N	1,259	492	284	483	672	587	408	851	811	448	137	899	170

[3] How concerned or not concerned would you be about people using prediction markets to place wagers on each of the following?

— Whether an act of terrorism will occur

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Repub-lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very concerned	53	59	53	47	56	50	49	55	53	53	53	54	43
Somewhat concerned	29	26	25	35	29	30	31	29	29	31	28	28	36
Not too concerned	13	12	17	11	11	15	15	12	13	13	15	13	12
Not at all concerned	5	3	5	6	4	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	9
CONCERNED (TOTAL)	82	85	78	82	85	80	80	84	82	84	81	82	79
NOT CONCERNED (TOTAL)	18	15	22	17	15	20	20	17	18	16	18	18	21
CONCERNED (NET)	+64	+70	+56	+65	+70	+60	+60	+67	+64	+68	+63	+64	+58
Weighted N	1,259	492	284	483	672	587	408	851	811	448	137	899	170

[4] How concerned or not concerned would you be about people using prediction markets to place wagers on each of the following?

— Whether an assassination of a political figure will occur

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Repub-lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very concerned	50	52	48	50	53	48	36	57	49	53	45	54	34
Somewhat concerned	28	28	27	28	28	27	32	26	28	28	24	27	34
Not too concerned	16	16	16	16	14	18	24	12	17	14	28	12	23
Not at all concerned	6	4	9	6	5	7	8	5	7	5	3	7	8
CONCERNED (TOTAL)	78	80	75	78	81	75	68	83	77	81	69	81	68
NOT CONCERNED (TOTAL)	22	20	25	22	19	25	32	17	24	19	31	19	31
CONCERNED (NET)	+56	+60	+50	+56	+62	+50	+36	+66	+53	+62	+38	+62	+37
Weighted N	1,259	492	284	483	672	587	408	851	811	448	137	899	170

[5] How concerned or not concerned would you be about people using prediction markets to place wagers on each of the following?

— Whether an event where a person has complete control of the outcome — such as whether someone will say a phrase in a live-stream video — will occur

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Repub-lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very concerned	38	45	36	31	37	39	29	42	38	38	41	37	34
Somewhat concerned	31	27	28	35	32	29	33	30	28	36	28	32	22
Not too concerned	22	20	23	23	25	19	26	20	24	17	23	21	32
Not at all concerned	10	7	13	10	7	13	12	8	10	9	8	9	12
CONCERNED (TOTAL)	69	72	64	66	69	68	62	72	66	74	69	69	56
NOT CONCERNED (TOTAL)	32	27	36	33	32	32	38	28	34	26	31	30	44
CONCERNED (NET)	+37	+45	+28	+33	+37	+36	+24	+44	+32	+48	+38	+39	+12
Weighted N	1,259	492	284	483	672	587	408	851	811	448	137	899	170

[6] How concerned or not concerned would you be about people using prediction markets to place wagers on each of the following?

— Whether a certain candidate will win or lose an election

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Repub-lican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very concerned	35	41	32	30	37	32	23	40	36	32	35	34	24
Somewhat concerned	35	35	32	37	36	34	39	33	33	39	36	34	45
Not too concerned	21	18	26	22	19	24	27	19	22	20	19	22	20
Not at all concerned	9	7	10	11	8	10	11	8	9	9	10	9	10
CONCERNED (TOTAL)	70	76	64	67	73	66	62	73	69	71	71	68	69
NOT CONCERNED (TOTAL)	30	25	36	33	27	34	38	27	31	29	29	31	30
CONCERNED (NET)	+40	+51	+28	+34	+46	+32	+24	+46	+38	+42	+42	+37	+39
Weighted N	1,259	492	284	483	672	587	408	851	811	448	137	899	170