DATA FOR ***PROGRESS***

From July 24 to 27, 2026, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,058 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and recalled presidential vote. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error associated with the sample size is ±3 percentage points. Results for subgroups of the sample are subject to increased margins of error. Partisanship reflected in tabulations is based on self-identified party affiliation, not partisan registration. For more information please visit dataforprogress.org/our-methodology.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,058 unless otherwise specified.

**[1]** Would you say the number of extreme weather events, like hurricanes, flash floods, droughts, or heat waves, has **increased**, **decreased**, or **stayed the same** over the past **five** years?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Repub-lican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| The number of extreme weather events has increased | 55 | 66 | 55 | 44 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| The number of extreme weather events has stayed the same | 34 | 23 | 36 | 45 | 32 | 36 | 35 |
| The number of extreme weather events has decreased | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Don't know | 6 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[2]** Thinking about your community, which of the following areas do you feel need the **most urgent** attention or investment? Please select **up to three.**

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Repub-lican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Housing affordability | 57 | 62 | 64 | 50 | 58 | 64 | 49 |
| Local roads and public infrastructure | 42 | 37 | 42 | 48 | 36 | 44 | 48 |
| Local power grid | 28 | 26 | 30 | 28 | 23 | 28 | 32 |
| Extreme weather preparedness | 25 | 29 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 29 |
| Public transportation | 16 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 15 |
| Pollution | 14 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 10 |
| Trash and recycling pickup and waste management | 13 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Parks, playgrounds, and green spaces | 10 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| My community does not have any major needs right now | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| Something else (please specify) | 4 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[3]** How important is it to you, if at all, for your community to implement environmental sustainability policies?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Very important | 33 | 41 | 35 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 32 |
| Somewhat important | 40 | 38 | 42 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 38 |
| Not very important | 14 | 13 | 9 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 16 |
| Not at all important | 7 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Don't Know | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| IMPORTANT (TOTAL) | 73 | 79 | 77 | 64 | 74 | 74 | 70 |
| NOT IMPORTANT (TOTAL) | 21 | 17 | 15 | 30 | 22 | 19 | 24 |
| IMPORTANT (NET) | +52 | +62 | +62 | +34 | +52 | +55 | +46 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[4]** In general, do you think policies implemented at the city and community level would be effective at addressing climate change and its impacts, or would they be ineffective?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Somewhat effective | 34 | 42 | 34 | 26 | 35 | 36 | 31 |
| Very effective | 19 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 24 | 21 | 12 |
| Somewhat ineffective | 18 | 14 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 21 |
| Very ineffective | 12 | 11 | 6 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 15 |
| I don't believe in climate change | 9 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 14 |
| Don't know | 8 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| EFFECTIVE (TOTAL) | 53 | 65 | 53 | 41 | 59 | 57 | 43 |
| INEFFECTIVE (TOTAL) | 30 | 25 | 25 | 36 | 27 | 25 | 36 |
| EFFECTIVE (NET) | +23 | +40 | +28 | +5 | +32 | +32 | +7 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[5]** Green urbanism is a policy approach that aims to make communities more sustainable and resilient to the impacts of climate change.

How much have you read or heard, if anything, about the following green urbanism approaches:

— Rainwater management systems

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A lot | 17 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 15 |
| A little | 37 | 42 | 37 | 33 | 42 | 37 | 34 |
| Nothing at all | 45 | 40 | 47 | 49 | 40 | 45 | 51 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[6]** Green urbanism is a policy approach that aims to make communities more sustainable and resilient to the impacts of climate change.

How much have you read or heard, if anything, about the following green urbanism approaches:

— Green roofs

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A lot | 13 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 13 |
| A little | 27 | 31 | 23 | 25 | 35 | 25 | 22 |
| Nothing at all | 59 | 54 | 64 | 63 | 50 | 63 | 65 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[7]** Green urbanism is a policy approach that aims to make communities more sustainable and resilient to the impacts of climate change.

How much have you read or heard, if anything, about the following green urbanism approaches:

— Energy-efficient building standards

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A lot | 17 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 16 |
| A little | 41 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 45 | 41 | 37 |
| Nothing at all | 41 | 39 | 41 | 44 | 35 | 42 | 47 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[8]** Green urbanism is a policy approach that aims to make communities more sustainable and resilient to the impacts of climate change.

How much have you read or heard, if anything, about the following green urbanism approaches:

— Transit-oriented development

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A lot | 11 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 9 |
| A little | 31 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 40 | 28 | 24 |
| Nothing at all | 58 | 52 | 61 | 62 | 46 | 60 | 67 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[9a]** Below are some **energy-efficiency** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Updating public buildings with new energy-efficient technologies

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 38 | 45 | 41 | 30 | 38 | 38 | 39 |
| Somewhat support | 41 | 37 | 39 | 46 | 41 | 40 | 41 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Strongly oppose | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Don't know | 10 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 9 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 79 | 82 | 80 | 76 | 79 | 78 | 80 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 11 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +68 | +73 | +75 | +61 | +66 | +68 | +69 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[9b]** Below are some **energy-efficiency** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Setting energy-efficiency requirements for new buildings

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 39 | 46 | 40 | 32 | 33 | 44 | 39 |
| Somewhat support | 39 | 37 | 37 | 41 | 44 | 37 | 36 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 9 |
| Strongly oppose | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Don't know | 10 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 11 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 78 | 83 | 77 | 73 | 77 | 81 | 75 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 12 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 16 | 8 | 14 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +66 | +74 | +68 | +56 | +61 | +73 | +61 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[9c]** Below are some **energy-efficiency** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Offering financial incentives for energy-efficient homes and businesses

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 37 | 44 | 36 | 32 | 41 | 37 | 34 |
| Somewhat support | 40 | 36 | 42 | 42 | 39 | 40 | 40 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Strongly oppose | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Don't know | 11 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 77 | 80 | 78 | 74 | 80 | 77 | 74 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 12 | 10 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 15 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +65 | +70 | +69 | +57 | +69 | +66 | +59 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[10a]** Below are some **community planning and transit** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Expanding sidewalk coverage and creating more pedestrian-friendly streets

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 44 | 46 | 44 | 41 | 45 | 44 | 42 |
| Somewhat support | 36 | 33 | 34 | 40 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 6 |
| Strongly oppose | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Don't know | 9 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 80 | 79 | 78 | 81 | 80 | 80 | 79 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +68 | +67 | +67 | +69 | +65 | +70 | +69 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[10b]** Below are some **community planning and transit** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Expanding bus services and providing discounted fares

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 42 | 51 | 39 | 35 | 45 | 44 | 38 |
| Somewhat support | 35 | 31 | 37 | 37 | 32 | 34 | 37 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 |
| Strongly oppose | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Don't know | 11 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 14 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 77 | 82 | 76 | 72 | 77 | 78 | 75 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 11 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 11 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +66 | +73 | +65 | +56 | +62 | +68 | +64 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[10c]** Below are some **community planning and transit** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Updating zoning rules to encourage walkable, mixed-use neighborhoods

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 35 | 43 | 36 | 27 | 36 | 35 | 34 |
| Somewhat support | 40 | 35 | 41 | 45 | 41 | 40 | 38 |
| Somewhat oppose | 7 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| Strongly oppose | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Don't know | 13 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 14 | 16 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 75 | 78 | 77 | 72 | 77 | 75 | 72 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 12 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 12 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +63 | +67 | +69 | +57 | +63 | +65 | +60 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[10d]** Below are some **community planning and transit** policies that local governments are considering implementing. Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following policies:

— Investing in safer bike lanes and expanded cycling infrastructure

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 36 | 40 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 38 | 37 |
| Somewhat support | 38 | 39 | 35 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 |
| Strongly oppose | 6 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| Don't know | 11 | 10 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 74 | 79 | 70 | 72 | 73 | 75 | 75 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 14 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 11 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +60 | +67 | +55 | +56 | +55 | +62 | +64 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[11a]** Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following **green infrastructure** projects:

— **Rain gardens:** Landscaped areas that absorb rainwater and reduce flooding

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 43 | 50 | 46 | 35 | 41 | 43 | 46 |
| Somewhat support | 35 | 30 | 33 | 42 | 36 | 35 | 34 |
| Somewhat oppose | 6 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| Strongly oppose | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Don't know | 12 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 13 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 78 | 80 | 79 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 80 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 9 | 11 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +69 | +69 | +74 | +67 | +63 | +71 | +73 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[11b]** Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following **green infrastructure** projects:

— **Permeable pavement:** Pavement that allows rainwater to soak into the ground

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 35 | 39 | 43 | 27 | 34 | 36 | 35 |
| Somewhat support | 36 | 39 | 28 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 36 |
| Somewhat oppose | 8 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
| Strongly oppose | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Don't know | 17 | 13 | 21 | 18 | 13 | 19 | 18 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 71 | 78 | 71 | 65 | 72 | 71 | 71 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 12 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 15 | 11 | 11 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +59 | +68 | +64 | +48 | +57 | +60 | +60 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[11c]** Please indicate whether you support or oppose each of the following **green infrastructure** projects:

— **Green roofs:** Rooftops covered with vegetation that reduce heat and absorb rainwater

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Republican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strongly support | 32 | 39 | 40 | 22 | 35 | 34 | 28 |
| Somewhat support | 30 | 29 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 27 | 29 |
| Somewhat oppose | 11 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Strongly oppose | 7 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| Don't know | 20 | 15 | 23 | 23 | 13 | 22 | 23 |
| SUPPORT (TOTAL) | 62 | 68 | 65 | 55 | 69 | 61 | 57 |
| OPPOSE (TOTAL) | 18 | 16 | 12 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 20 |
| SUPPORT (NET) | +44 | +52 | +53 | +32 | +52 | +44 | +37 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[12]** Which of the following do you think would be the **biggest obstacle** preventing your community from investing more in environmental sustainability and green urbanism?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Repub-lican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Limited funding | 31 | 33 | 25 | 32 | 28 | 33 | 31 |
| Lack of leadership on this issue | 18 | 22 | 13 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 17 |
| My community has more urgent priorities | 11 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 9 |
| Existing car-dependent development and limited public transportation | 11 | 12 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 9 |
| Environmental sustainability is not a major concern in my community | 8 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| Difficulty meeting regulatory and permitting requirements | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Limited staff or technical expertise | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Something else (please specify) | 1 | <0.5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Don't know | 9 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[13]** Which of the following organizations would you trust **most** to lead the implementation of sustainability policies in your community?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Independent / Third party | Repub lican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Organizations representing local communities, like neighborhood associations or non-profit groups | 23 | 24 | 27 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| My local government | 18 | 17 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 16 |
| My state government | 15 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 17 |
| Researchers / scientists at universities | 12 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 11 |
| The federal government | 8 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| Private companies | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 3 |
| None of these | 9 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 14 |
| Don't know | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 9 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[14]** Which of these statements comes closer to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Inde-pendent / Third party | Repub lican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cities should prioritize investing in road expansion and traffic management tools to accommodate growing vehicle use. | 44 | 37 | 40 | 52 | 41 | 45 | 45 |
| Cities should prioritize investing in public transportation and expanding sidewalks and bike lanes to reduce car dependence. | 47 | 54 | 50 | 38 | 51 | 46 | 44 |
| Don't know | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |

**[15]** Which statement comes closer to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

| Response | Topline | Democrat | Inde-pendent / Third party | Repub lican | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cities should invest now in projects that reduce damage from flooding and extreme heat, even if it requires raising taxes today. | 54 | 60 | 56 | 47 | 52 | 59 | 50 |
| Cities should avoid raising taxes, even if it means delaying projects that could prepare for future costly climate impacts. | 37 | 31 | 32 | 45 | 40 | 33 | 39 |
| Don't know | 9 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| Weighted N | 1,058 | 427 | 212 | 419 | 332 | 396 | 330 |